## **NEW ZEALAND SCHOLARSHIP 2004**

## ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE FOR CHEMISTRY

## **Evidence Statement**

Question	Evidence	C answer	B answer	A answer
1(a)	$H_3C-HC$ $H_3$ $H_3C-HC$ $H_3$ $H_3C-HC$ $H_3$ $H_3C-HC$ $H_3$	Sequence of functional groups correct but incorrect carbon skeleton	Either 1(a) all correct OR 1(b) all correct	
1(c)	Compounds 1 and 4 have the same forces between molecules (no H-bonds). Higher boiling point in larger molecule due to increased size of e <sup>-</sup> cloud, which results in increased instantaneous induced dipoles between molecules.  Compounds 2 and 3 same size of e <sup>-</sup> cloud as 1, but higher boiling points than 1 due to added intermolecular force exerted by H-bonds between molecules.  2 and 3 have same number of H-bonds between molecules, but 3 has greater boiling point than 2 due to straight hydrocarbon chain. Branched chains in 2 mean induced dipole forces between chains are reduced, hence lowering boiling point.	Answer shows understanding of effect of size of e cloud on intermolecular force between all molecules and also shows understanding of H-bonding between molecules for primary or secondary amines	2 out of 3 of: Significance of size of e cloud on intermolecular force Effect of H-bonding in 2 and 3 Effect of packing	All ideas correct

Q	Evidence	C answer	B answer	A answer
2(a)(i)	c(HOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> ) = 0.0150 mol L <sup>-1</sup> pK <sub>a</sub> = 9.5 K <sub>a</sub> = 3.16 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> $\left[H_3O^+\right]^2 = \frac{K_w \times K_a}{c_{\text{(base)}}} = \frac{1 \times 10^{-14} \times 3.16 \times 10^{-10}}{0.0150}$ $\left[H_3O^+\right] = 1.45 \times 10^{-11}$ pH = 10.8	Correct process for both calculations but incorrect values of p $K_a$ and/or [HOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup> ] determined using data from titration	Both correct	
2(a)(ii)	Equation for titration: $\begin{aligned} &\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2 \ + \ \text{HCI} \ \Box \ \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3^+ + \text{CI}^- \\ &[\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3^+] = \frac{0.0250 \times 0.0150}{0.0600} = 6.25 \times 10^{-3} \\ &\text{From graph: p} K_a = 9.5  K_a = 3.16 \times 10^{-10} \\ &[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]^2 = 3.16 \times 10^{-10} \times 6.25 \times 10^{-3} \\ &[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = 1.40 \times 10^{-6} \\ &\text{pH} = 5.85 \end{aligned}$			
2(a)(iii )	Initial pH 10.8 Ethanolamine is a weak base, so partial dissociation in water $HOCH_2CH_2NH_2 + H_2O \ \Box \ HOCH_2CH_2NH_3^+ + OH^-$ Addition of HCI $HOCH_2CH_2NH_2$ converted to $HOCH_2CH_2NH_3^+$ While both acid and conjugate base are present, a 'buffer' region exists represented by the relatively flat region of the graph. In this region pH only changes by small amount even though acid is being added. At 35 mL all the base has been neutralised (equivalence point) to produce $HOCH_2CH_2NH_3^+CI^-$ solution. This reacts with water to produce $H_3O^+$ : $HOCH_2CH_2NH_3^+ + H_2O \ \Box \ HOCH_2CH_2NH_2 + H_3O^+$ So pH < 7	2 regions discussed with reference to relevant species present	3 regions discussed	All correct with comprehensive discussion
2(b)	For the dissolving reaction $Hg(OH)_2 \rightarrow Hg^{2^+} + 2OH^-$ If solubility = s $K_s = [Hg^{2^+}] \times [OH^-]^2 = 4s^3 = 3.6 \times 10^{-26}$ s = $2.08 \times 10^{-9}$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> $[OH^-] = 4.16 \times 10^{-9}  pH = 5.62$ Assumption: $[OH^-]$ from water dissociation is negligible. Since pH is acidic assumption is not valid. ie $[OH^-]$ from the dissolving $\ll [OH^-]$ from the water $[OH^-] = 4.16 \times 10^{-9} <<10^{-7}$ so pH is neutral as it only depends on $H_2O$ dissociation $OR \ [OH] = 1 \times 10^{-7} + 4.16 \times 10^{-9}  and \ pH = 7.02$	Calculation of pH =5.62 but failure to recognize importance of dissociation of water and that this calculated pH is impossible for a basic solution.	Calculation of [OH <sup>-</sup> ] with recognition of contribution of water dissociation	

Q	Evidence	C answer	B answer	A answer
3(a)	<ul> <li>Back titration used because reaction is too slow, end point difficult to determine, mixture of products.</li> <li>Distillation used to remove red colour which would obscure end point / remove other components of blood which may also be oxidised by Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup>.</li> <li>Mixture heated to ensure all ethanol is oxidised to ethanoic acid by Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup>.</li> <li>Unused Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup> determined by reaction with acidified iodide, which produces iodine which is titrated with thiosulfate.</li> <li>Starch is added to make a sharper end point. Add near end point, otherwise it binds with I<sub>2</sub>.</li> </ul>	Discussion shows limited understanding of either process – should include 2 points from 3(a) or 2 points from 3(c)	Discussion shows understanding of process – should include 3 correct points from 3(a) and 3(c)	5 points from 3(a) and (c) discussed including error associated with 1 mL titre
3(c)	<ul> <li>Titre values are too small and will lead to a higher experimental error (% error in each reading higher than for larger titre values).</li> <li>Titre values can be increased by:         <ul> <li>decreasing the concentration of the S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> solution</li> <li>increasing the amount of Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup> initially added (either by increasing its volume or concentration)</li> <li>decreasing the amount of alcohol added by either decreasing the volume or diluting the sample.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
3(b)	Average value of concordant titres is $17.84 \text{ mL}$ $n(S_2O_3^{2^-}) = 0.01784 \times 0.105 = 1.873 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$ $n(\text{dichromate remaining}) = \frac{1}{6} \times n(S_2O_3^{2^-})$ $= 3.122 \times 10^{-4} \text{mol}$ $n(\text{dichromate originally added}) = 0.0492 \times 0.010 = 4.92 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol}$ $n(\text{dichromate used up}) = (4.92 - 3.12) \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol}$ $n(\text{dichromate used up}) = 1.80 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol}$ $n(\text{alcohol}) = 3/2 \times n(\text{dichromate used up})$ $= 2.70 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol present in 10 mL sample}$ $n(\text{alcohol})$ in $100 \text{ mL} = 2.70 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } (> 0.0174 \text{ mol L}^{-1})$ $m(\text{alcohol})$ in $100 \text{ mL} = 2.70 \times 10^{-3} \times 46 = 0.124 \text{ g or}$ $124 \text{ mg}$ The amount of alcohol present in the blood sample is over the legal limit.	Calculation shows basic understanding of principles of back titration calculation with minor mathematical errors.	All correct	

Q	Evidence		C answer	B answer	A answer
4(a)	O <s<mg mg<sup="">2+&lt; Mg</s<mg>	O and S in the same group so same number of valence e <sup>-</sup> but S has extra E level so larger.  Mg in same period as S so outer e <sup>-</sup> have same shielding but nuclear attraction less in Mg due to fewer protons, so less attraction between outer e <sup>-</sup> and nucleus hence larger atom.  entire E level of e <sup>-</sup> lost when ion is formed	Answer shows understanding of fact that relative radius of atoms and ions depends on the charge in the nucleus and the energy level in which valence electrons are	Any two sets correctly discussed	All aspects of comparisons discussed correctly
	S <sup>2-</sup> >S and O <sup>2-</sup> >O	e s are added when ions form and increased repulsion between valence e means larger radius.	placed, and links to relevant electrostatic attraction or repulsion		
	S <sup>2-</sup> >O <sup>2-</sup> >Mg <sup>2+</sup>	S <sup>2-</sup> has one more E level than O <sup>2-</sup> , O <sup>2-</sup> and Mg <sup>2+</sup> have same number of outer shell e <sup>-</sup> s but Mg <sup>2+</sup> has greater nuclear charge, hence e <sup>-</sup> s are held more closely.			
4(b)	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> + $3\frac{1}{2}$ O <sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ 2CO <sub>2</sub> + 3H <sub>2</sub> O CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH + 3O <sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ 2CO <sub>2</sub> + 3H <sub>2</sub> O $\Delta_c H = \Delta_f H \text{(products)} - \Delta_f H \text{(reactants)}$ Since products same for both reactions $\Delta_c H \text{(ethanol)} = X - (-235) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ $\Delta_c H \text{(ethane)} = X - (-84) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ Hence $\Delta_c H \text{(ethane)} < \Delta_c H \text{(ethanol)}$ and $\Delta_c H \text{(ethane)}$ is more negative.		Answer identifies that Δ <sub>c</sub> H(ethane) is more negative, with valid reasoning that is not supported by numerical data	Logical reasoning based on data given (reference to bond breaking and making not acceptable)	
4(c)	pH = 6.8	because [H₃O⁺] = [OH⁻]	Correct pH calculated	Correct pH and reason for neutrality	
4(d)	$\Delta H = 12(-393.5) +$ = -5643.8 kJ m $H_2O(\ell) \rightarrow H_2O(g)$ 1L of water is 1000 mol  55.6 mol requires 4	$ → 12CO2 + 11H2O(ℓ) $ $ 11(-285.8) - (-2222.0) $ $ ol-1 $ $ ΔH = 44 \text{ kJ mol}-1 $ $ ∂ g i.e. 1000 g /18 g mol-1 = 55.6 $ $ 44 × 55.6 = 2445 \text{ kJ} $ $ \frac{445}{644} × 342 = 148.1 g $	Enthalpy change $\Delta H = -5643.8$ kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> correct OR Calculation of mass sucrose required is calculated correctly using incorrect value of $\Delta H$	Both answers correct	

Q	Evidence	C answer	B answer	A answer
5	SO $_3^{2-}$ turns litmus blue no reaction with I $_2^-$ precipitate Br $_2^-$ no change to litmus no reaction with I $_2^-$ precipitate HBr and HIO $_3$ are both strong acids. Their conjugates (Br $_2^-$ and IO $_3^-$ ) are weaker bases than water and hence would not turn red litmus blue. HOCl and HSO $_3^-$ are both weak acids. Their conjugate bases (OCl $_2^-$ and SO $_3^{2-}$ ) are therefore more basic than water and react to generate excess OH $_2^-$ thus turning red litmus blue. I $_2^-$ is a reductant and reacts with oxidants in couples that have $E^\circ$ higher than $E^\circ$ (I $_2$ /I $_2^-$ ) (0.62 V). Therefore I $_2^-$ reacts with IO $_3^-$ ( $E^\circ$ (IO $_3^-$ /I $_2$ ) = 1.2 V) and HOCl (arising from OCl $_2^-$ at low pH) ( $E^\circ$ (HOCl/CI $_2^-$ ) = 1.51 V) but does not	with litmus but reasons not	Correct results for litmus with reasons linked to pK <sub>a</sub>	All correct and concisely explained
	react with Br because it is a reductant only. OR Reaction of I with $SO_3^{2-}$ $E^\circ_{cell} = E^\circ(H_2SO_3/S) - E^\circ(I_2/I^-) = 0.45 - 0.62 = 0.17 < 0$ so reaction not spontaneous so no reaction observed. Reaction of OCI with I $E^\circ_{cell} = E^\circ(HOCI/CI^-) - E^\circ(I_2/I^-) = 1.51 - 0.62 = 0.89 > 0$ so reaction spontaneous so reaction observed. Reaction of $IO_3^-$ with I $E^\circ_{cell} = E^\circ(IO_3^-/I_2) - E^\circ(I_2/I^-) = 1.20 - 0.62 = 0.58 > 0$ so reaction spontaneous so reaction observed.	Correct results for reaction (or lack of reaction) of 3 anions with iodide but reasons not clearly linked to $E^{\circ}$ values	Correct results for iodide with reasons linked to E° values	-